



IRPA guiding principles on Radiation Protection Culture drawn up by RP professionals for the benefit of RP professionals



IRPA GUIDING PRINCIPLES FOR ESTABLISHING A RADIATION PROTECTION CULTURE



Dr B Le Guen IRPA Executive Officer

IAEA Workshop on Occupational Exposure Vienna, Austria, 1st 5th December 2014

Role of IRPA The international voice of RP professionals

Value and strength of IRPA:

IRPA

Enormous resources of **practical knowledge and experience** in radiation protection and neighboring specialist fields

- 50 Associate Societies representing 62 countries ;
- Almost 18,000 individual members

IRPA provides a medium for communication and advancement of radiation protection throughout the world and has recognised the importance of establishing a sound radiation protection culture



INTERNATIONAL RADIATION PROTECTION ASSOCIATION "International Support to Meet National Radiation Protection Needs"

IAEA December 2014 - B. le Guen



RP Culture: Goal ?



- From nuclear industry to the medical sector, this first IRPA Guiding Principle on RP Culture is a common document about culture from the perspective of professionals, geared towards professionals
- The purpose was to capture the opinion and standpoint of RP professionals on what constitutes a strong RP culture.



This guidance has been developed in an inclusive and consultative approach

IAEA December 2014 – B. le Guen



Enhancing RP Culture is a Process

IRPA's Guiding Principles incorporate approaches from different countries and regions of the world, and from different sectors: medicine, industry and regulators.

IRPA





Common Basis

There are no differences between sectors (medical, research, nuclear industry) whereby RP Culture can be understood as a combination of habits and knowledge of RP:

 in all its aspects for patients, workers, population and environment,
 and in all exposure situations,
 combining scientific and social dimensions.



Questions during the IRPA meetings

- What are the elements of the culture and how could we define it?
- Is it possible to assess the RP culture and what could be the criteria?
- How to engage the stakeholders (regulators, operators, professional organizations...) in the process of developing RP culture.
- What is the role of RP professionals and IRPA AS with regard to RP culture?
- □ How is regional culture included?
- □ What are the criteria for success?

IAEA December 2014 - B. le Guen



Safety Culture vs RP Culture

Safety culture is a concept that has been defined by different institutions, organizations, and there is a common understanding of its meaning

- Safety culture includes nuclear safety, RP, occupational safety, security, health, environmental safety, patient safety ...
- Hence, RP culture in our organizations should be seen as the implementation of RP principles inside the framework of safety culture
- RP Culture and Safety Culture are not opposed. RPC is part of SC, with peculiarities: both are looking at human errors and the human side of safety.





□ The ideas, beliefs and customs that are <u>shared</u> and <u>accepted</u> by people in a society.

That complex whole, which includes knowledge, belief, art, morals, law, customs, values, symbols, rituals and any other capabilities and habits, acquired by people as members of society that determine appropriate attitudes and behavior





(1) **Beliefs, values, and assumptions** of the founders of an organization,

(2) Learning experiences of group members as the organization evolves (Groups of people who have shared significant problems, solved them, observed the effects of their solutions, and who have taken in new members)

(3) Beliefs, values, and assumptions **brought in** by new members and leaders.



Why are we interested in a specific Radiation Protection Culture?

Embedding RP at a cultural level within an organization is by far the most effective way of delivering the performance to which we all aspire.

To give visibility to the <u>fundamentals of RP</u>

- To promote radiation risk awareness (conscience)
- To promote <u>shared responsibility</u> among practitioners, operators, manufacturers, management and regulators
- To maintain the RP heritage
- To facilitate its transmission
- To improve continuously the quality and effectiveness of RP
- > To <u>contribute</u> to the <u>general safety</u>

IAEA December 2014 – B. le Guen



RP culture development and improvement

What are the ways to impact radiation protection culture?

Strong leadership,





- Education and training,
- Establishment of a positive behavior at the working place (Individual and collective behavior)
- A proper communication among all practitioners.
- Similarly, learning from events, incidents and near misses is an important part of culture development.



The zero risk doesn't exist, Process must be fault tolerant that's why

Responsibilities must be Understood **Responsibilities must be Manageable Early Warnings must be Available** ☐Must Learn from others Mistakes **Corrective Actions must Occur** □ Audits must be Conducted Peer Review must Happen Process should be Accredited

Example: 9 behavioral elements of general safety culture from U.S.NRC

IRPA



Leadership Safety -Values and Actions	Problem Identification and Resolution	Personal Accountability
Leaders demonstrate commitment to safety in their decisions and behaviors	Potential impacts on safety - promptly identified, evaluated, prioritized, addressed and corrected	All individuals take personal responsibility for safety
Work Processes	Continuous Learning	Environment for Raising Concerns
Maintain & enhance safety when planning and controlling work activities	Seek opportunities to learn & Implement safety methodologies	Personnel feel free to raise safety concerns without fear
Effective Safety	Communication	Respectful Work Environment , Questioning Attitude
Communications focus on safety	Trust and respect permeate the organization	Individuals identify discrepancies in existing conditions & inappropriate actions

Assessment of RP culture - 1



 The assessment tools of radiation protection culture can be done in several ways,

IRPA

- using a combination of quantitative and qualitative tools required to assess the level and quality of radiation protection culture,
 - not only to measure the identified criteria of success,
 - but also to stimulate judgments and observations about positive or negative trends for such a given criteria
 - and observations about positive or negative trends, or even to modify them with a view to determining trends and improvements or negative drifts in radiation protection culture
- Assessment of RP culture relates both to internal and external rules



Assessment of RP culture - 2

- At the local level, a RP application could provide for example:
 - A formalized procedure to assure that the workers know the principles of RP
 - Confirm that there is an established internal procedure for refreshing and for updating courses and training provided to workers and professionals.
 - Formally entrust the position of the RP expert with the responsibility to teach and refresh theoretical and practical knowledge and RP related duties;
 - Formalized self-assessments to evaluate the workers' radiation protection culture: random checks via questionnaires filled in by the patients about radiation protection culture;
 - Check first the existence of a 'blame-free' policy to report and track errors and near misses in an open and constructive way. 15



Assessment of RP culture - 3

- At the level of third parties involved in the supply of RP equipment, the following tools can be applied:
 - Vendors of ionizing radiation facilities (including nuclear medicine, radiotherapy, diagnostic imaging or industrial applications) or service providers in this area (maintenance, transportation of sources and other third-party services) should undergo an external independent audit to establish the existence of an appropriate level of radiation protection culture among the staff directly involved;

> This point may imply the involvement of a regulatory body

• Review relevant documents in order to provide information on the level of radiation protection culture.

Role of RP professionals

The RP practitioners must be aware that interaction with wider stakeholders is essential for the development and application of workplace culture

The main stakeholders:

- The workforce (at all levels)
- Senior managers and Directors
- Contractors

IRPA

- Equipment manufacturers, vendors and suppliers
- Regulators and other authorities
- Medical and health professionals, especially but not exclusively those who are using ionizing radiation,
- Functional leaders and risk
 managers

RP professionals need to:

- Display strong personal leadership and motivation
- Develop a narrative on radiation protection in all exposure situations
- Develop relationships with management, the workforce and the regulators
- Consider following the NRCstyle approach to develop a policy statement on radiation protection culture

Patients



Conclusion

- Developing a "field culture" in addition to the "science, engineering or medical culture" is a way to anticipate problems and to obtain the commitment of all employees.
- Radiation protection culture is a learned way of life. It must be an ongoing dialogue
 - Among safety professionals, organizational management and the workforce
 - Between organizations and relevant stakeholders
- Associate Societies and all RP professionnals should consider how best to use the IRPA guidance to develop RP culture within their national systems and organisations
- In due course, to feedback so that the IRPA guidance can be improved and expanded



Process - Next Steps

- IRPA is exploring now the possibility of developing more practical guidance for the medical sector in association with IOMP, WHO
- And all RP professionnals



Conclusion



These guiding principles provide also an opportunity for celebrating IRPA's 50th IRPA anniversary

This Guidance is a symbol for the IRPA anniversary: "from the past, toward to the future, but with a common culture"

International Radiation Protection Association http://www.irpa.net

IAEA December 2014 – B. le Guen