

# *SADRWMS 5<sup>th</sup> Plenary Meeting*

## *User Working Group*

Stubicke Toplice, Croatia, 23-27 June 2008

---

---

# Beginning

Established: April 2007 (4<sup>th</sup> Plenary Meeting)

- **Participants:**

- Andrey Guskov (Group Leader)
- Nadja Zeleznik (Deputy)
- Irene (Facilitator)
- Farid Asgharizadeh
- Chris Fisher
- Phil Hallington
- Vladimir Lokner
- Jagoš Raicević
- Azucena Sanhueza
- Anne Sørensen

- **Initial Group Tasks:**

- Processing facility – should have areas (+)
- Types of facility and attributes (+/-)
- Use of the flow charts to help identifying SA needed – specifications (-)
- Scope of the Safety Assessment (?)
- Possibility to add processes that are outside the facilities to waste streams (?)
- Graded approach (?)
- Improvement of the user interface (+/-/??)

# *Work*

- 8 Test Case meetings took place (see MK presentation)
  - Outcomes, notes and proposals for SAFRAN improvement have been produced
  - Some of them already taken into account and implemented, some still remain unreleased as identified issues (see WG presentation)
- 
-

## **Work** (*Moscow example*)

- Include ability to call standard MS calculator - **DONE**
  - Dose rate, loose contamination, fixed contamination should always be shown for individual package - **DONE**
  - Width of some list windows (such as list of areas to which activity is assigned) is not enough for keeping long names and need to be extended - **DONE**
  - Don't need reduction factors for Loose Contamination, Fixed Contamination, or dose rate - **DONE**
  - Add areas directly from dialog box appearing when clicking "Assign to area" - **DONE**
  - Add activities directly from waste stream dialog box appearing when clicking "Waste management activity" - **DONE...**
- 
-

# SAFRAN evolution... issues

- SAFRAN evolved and even *more significant* changes are intended to be done by November 2008
  - Step by step more useful, sophisticated, but complex
  - Can be used for for different purposes:
    - Make SA for different existing (or planned) facility, area or activity
    - Compare different options (produce data for the following expert selection)
    - Regulatory review
  - Can be used for different situations and cases:
    - Predisposal RAW management (see WG pres.)
    - Decommissioning
- 
-

# SAFRAN evolution... issues

- Is the strategy of SAFRAN use and procedure to be the same for different cases in terms of?
    - Starting point
    - Input data
    - Calculations
    - Analysis
    - Iterations
    - Result reporting
  - Are waste features (in terms of waste predisposal management) for different waste types such as NORM, DSRS, Operational waste more *similar* or more *different* ?
- 
-

# State

- No WG Documents:
  - Purpose, Scope, Tasks, Schedule — are not fixed
- No WG Meetings
- No interface and procedure for interaction with other WGs

↳ *Propose, discuss, agree and fix it during this week`*

---

---

# Proposals

- Purpose:
    - Generate proposals to improve the SAFRAN tool (*from the user point of view*)
    - Test intensively each updated or new version of software (*on demand?*)
  - Scope:
    - Don't go too deep into any methodological and technical issues, leave this work for specific WGs
  - Tasks:
    - Collect, discuss, analyse all the problems arising from practical use of SAFRAN tool and wait for the new version
- 
-

# Proposals

- Specific task:
  - Think,
  - Think once more and
  - Think again about flowcharts and interrelations with the tool:

Use it as in introduction to identify the starting point within waste management when you need to start SAFRAN?

Use flowcharts as some kind of help?

Use flowcharts as a user friendly road map interface?

*Any other ideas are welcome...*

---

---

**THANKS A LOT FOR YOUR STRONG WISH  
TO USE SAFRAN TOOL, MAKE IT BETTER &  
JOIN THE USER WORKING GROUP...;-)**

*...sorry if I am wrong*

---

---