# Regulators Group Peer Review Results

R2D2 Workshop
15-19 September 2008
Linden Suites, Pasig City, Philippines

## Regulators during peer review...







### Scope of peer review (1)

- Legal and Regulatory Framework
  - National Acts which covers Decommissioning
  - Rules/Regulations for decommissioning aspect
- Independent regulatory body
  - Independence from the operator
- Requirements for decommissioning
  - Funding
  - Waste management
  - License requirements
  - License fees and charges
- Standard Review Plan (SRP)
- Competent Authority (competent/qualified staff)
- Clear Roles and Responsibilities of Regulatory Authority
- One leading body/organization
  - Safety evaluation and review
  - Inspection

### Scope of peer review (2)

- Milestones on Decommissioning Plan
- Graded approach
- Authorization strategy
- Opportunity for improvement

### Legend

1	Legal & Regulatory Framework				
2	Independent Regulatory Body				
3	Requirements for Decommissioning				
4	Safety Review Plan				
5	Competent/Qualified staff				
6	Clear roles and responsibilities				
7	One leading body/organization				

#### **Current Status**

- 1 Legal and regulatory framework; 2 independent regulatory body,
- 3 Requirements; 4 Standard Review Plan; 5 Competent/qualified staff;
- **6** Clear roles and responsibilities; **7** One lead organization

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Egypt	Y UR	N UR 2008/09	Y UR 2010	N	Y Cont	Y	Y
Indonesia	Y	Y	Y UR EO 2008	Y UR EO 2009	Y NT	Y	Y
Malaysia	Y UR EO 2009	N UR Early 2010	Y UR EO 2009	N 2010	Y NT/NM	Y	Y
Libya	Y UR 2010	N UR 2009	N 2009	N	Y Cont NM	Y	Y
Philippines	Y UR 2010	N UR 2010	Υ	N 2009	Y NT/NM	N	Υ
Romania	Y UR 2009	Y	Y UR 2009	N 2009	Y NM	Y	Y
Serbia	Y UR 2009	Y	N UR	N 2009	N	N NT/NM	Y NR 2009

Legend: UR Under revision; NM Need more staff members; NT Need training for staff members; Cont Continuing; NR Need revision

	DP Milestones	Graded Approach	Authorization strategy	Opportunities for improvement	
Egypt	Approves DP six months from receipt	Depends on size of RR	Multi-step	Yes	
Indonesia	Approves DP one year from receipt (2011)	Depends on size of RR	One-step	Yes	
Malaysia	Operator submits DP by 2009	Depends on size/type of facilities	Multi-step	Yes	
Libya	Approval of DP to be defined by Law in 2009	Depends on size/type of facilities	Multi-step	Yes	
Philippines	Operator submits DP by 2009; approval by 2010	Depends on size/type of facilities	Multi-step	Yes	
Romania	Approves DP two months from receipt	Depends on size/type of facilities	Multi-step	Yes	
Serbia	Approves DP three months from receipt	Depends on size/type of facilities	Multi-step	Yes	

### Conclusions and Recommendations

- The general situation of the regulatory body (RB) of participating countries is acceptable enough. In most areas actions are being taken for improvement
- Effort needs to be done by the RB in order to meet the identified targets
- In order to share information and experience, regulators and operators should be represented in IAEA workshops/meetings within the frame work of R2D2P.