

Belgian regulations with respect to the
management of radioactively contaminated
sites: experiences, challenges and prospects

S. Pepin (Belgium - FANC), EMRAS II WG 2 – 23/25 September 2009

Overview of legacy / NORM sites in Belgium

- Site of a former **radium extraction plant** (UMICORE): contamination of landfill site + riverbanks + streets (slags used in road construction)
 - ⇒ between a few Bq/g till ~ 1 kBq/g.
- Former **Ferro-Niobium** extraction facility (from **coltan**): landfilling of contaminated slags
 - ⇒ contamination up to 60 Bq/g Th-232, 12 Bq/g U-238

Overview of legacy / NORM sites in Belgium (2)

- **Phosphogypsum / CaF_2** stacks (legacy + in operation)

e.g. former PG stack: 0.5 – 0.6 Bq/g Ra-226 +
radon flux measurements 62 mBq/(m²s)

⇒ Project by government to build a jail on site !

- Others: **steel industry** discharge sites
(refractories, slags)

Current regulations: NORM activities

General framework: **directive 96/29/EURATOM**
Current NORM industries as « **work activities** »

Transposed into **Royal Decree of July, 20 2001**

a) **Positive list of work activities:**

- Phosphate industry
- Zircon industry
- Extraction of rare earths
- Tin foundries
- Production of thoriated welding electrodes

Industries of these sectors compelled to make **dose-assessment** of workers + population

b) FANC may define **radon-prone areas**: all workplaces located in radon-prone areas must be subject to Rn-monitoring

Current regulations: intervention

General framework: **directive 96/29/EURATOM**

« **Interventions in case of lasting exposure** »

« *Where the Member States have identified a situation leading to lasting exposure resulting from the after effects of a radiological emergency or a past practice, they shall, if necessary and to the extent of the exposure risk involved, ensure that:*

(a) the area concerned is demarcated;

(b) arrangements for the monitoring of exposure are made;

(c) any appropriate intervention is implemented, taking account of the real characteristics of the situation;

(d) access to or use of land or buildings situated in the demarcated area is regulated. »

Transposed into **Royal Decree of July, 20 2001**

(Art. 72bis)

Challenges

1. *Criteria for evaluation of necessity to intervention ?*
2. *Administrative procedure to apply ?*
3. *Who is liable for the intervention (investigations, remediation) ?*
4. *Rules for transfer of property, financing,... ?*
5. *Interaction with non RP regulations ?*

New regulation under development

Step by step administrative procedure

1. **Orientation investigation** (*validation* of risk ⇒ contaminated grounds in a official **register**)
2. **Descriptive investigation** (*assessment* of radiological risk)
3. **Pre-study** over intervention/clean-up options (*choose* the remediation strategy) + concertation with stakeholders
4. **Clean-up or risk-management project** (*elimination / control* of risk) (risk-management = e.g. restrictions on the use of the grounds or monitoring program)



Liabilities




- 1° operator / user of the facilities located on the site where the contamination comes from;
 - 2° if no operator/user, owner of the site where the contamination comes from.
- + in case of transfer of property of contaminated ground
⇒ obligation for the seller to inform the buyer (via register)



Technical criteria's





Technical recommandations:

- "Generic content of an orientation or descriptive investigation"
 - "Intervention levels for lasting exposure situations"
- 
- *dose < 0.3 mSv: never intervention*
 - *0.3 < Dose < 1 mSv: intervention rarely justified*
 - *1 < dose < 3 mSv: intervention generally justified*
 - *Dose > 3 mSv: intervention always justified*



Interface with non-RP authorities



- Radioactive contamination generally mixed with non radioactive contamination
 - Belgium: RP = competency of *federal state* / other environmental aspects = competency of *Regions*
- ⇒ **Entangled regulations** – need for **consistency** between RP regulations and regulations related to non radioactive contamination
- Exchange of information between administrations: identification of potentially contaminated sites
 - Defining modalities of collaboration for concrete cases
- 
- 

Interface with non-RP authorities (2)

- Definition of **common terminology**:

For example:

⇒ Link between “NORM” **positive list** of RP regulation and European classification of economic activities - **NACE codes** (EC REGULATION No 1893/2006):

e.g. code 23.20 “Manufacture of refractory products”

⇒ Link between “NORM” **residues** and European **waste codes** (2001/118/EC - Commission Decision as regards the list of wastes):

e.g. 06 01 04* waste from the manufacture of phosphoric/phosphorous acid
10 01 02 coal fly ash, ...

- Common **methodology** for risk-assessment: taking into account radiological and chemical-toxical parameters as a whole in the **decision-making process**

What next ?

In expectation new law (still to be approved at political level) ?

Use of **current regulations with respect to work activities**:

- Current work activities (e.g. phosphate industry) may be obliged to perform risk-assessment for their waste disposal sites
- If radon = most important exposure pathway, NORM-contaminated site may just be considered as "**Radon-prone area**" (Rn of *industrial* instead of *geological* origin)
⇒ obligation of *Rn-monitoring + prevention measures in building construction*

Conclusions

- Importance of collaboration with non RP authorities (regulatory and administrative consistency, exchange of information, coherence in the risk-assessment and in the decision-making process,...)
- New regulations must still be approved politically (some “touchy” points: **liability, transfer of property**,...)
- Current regulations on **work activities** (including consideration of contaminated sites as “**radon-prone areas**”) already allow some (limited) control of contaminated sites.

Conclusions

➤ Many **open issues** !

- disposal of waste from remediation activities:
regulatory status (radioactive waste or not ?)
+ acceptation criterias
- definition of measurements protocol and quality
assessment program
- ...