Modelling and validation of tritium uptake, re-emission and OBT formation in tomato and potato plants at CRL

Environmental Technologies Branch, Nuclear Sciences Division, CRL, AECL VY Korolevych and SB Kim

September 8, 2010, Aix-en-Provence



Objective

Modelling of airborne tritium in **plants** with emphasis on partitioning between organically bound tritium (OBT) and tissue free water tritium (HTO).



Long term models consider Plant on SA grounds (OBT ~ HTO ~ Air HTO), while **OBT/HTO ratios** collected in numerous experiments span the range of 0.2-40.0 and are rarely seen = 1.0 (as SA concept would suggest).

Predictions of short-term (dynamical) models start scattering far from observations in a **long term**.

Uncertainties in modelling of Plant compartment directly affect total tritium dose.



IAEA EMRAS I, Tritium WG, S-Scenario

Terrestrial Tritium Transfer: Key reasons for uncertainly

- Assumptions behind modelling of HTO re-emission from plant and retained amount of HTO are not fully understood;
- Theory of OBT formation in plants and its validation is incomplete;
- Fractionation of OBT into exchangeable (like HTO) and nonexchangeable (like carbon) forms is important and needs more research;
- Further OBT translocation via roots and decomposition both in roots and within soil in the first place) is insufficiently studied.





Tritium Pathways N288.1-08 (this study)





Tritium Pathways N288.1-08 (this study)





Tritium Pathway via Plant Water and its Ambient Drivers (modelling)





CTEM (Can. Terrestrial Ecosystem Model)

CTEM+CLASS

Source: CTEM manual v1.1

Tritium Translocation in CTEM+CLASS framework

AECL - OFFICIAL USE ONLY / À USAGE EXCLUSIF - EACL

Diffusion $(V_{ex}), C_{atm} \longrightarrow Plant tritium: C_{leaf} C_{OBT} \longrightarrow Diffusion (V_ex), C_{leaf}$

$$dC_{\text{leaf}}/dt = \rho_{\text{w}} M_{\text{leaf}}^{-1} (V_{\text{ex}} (C_{\text{atm}} - C_{\text{leaf}}) + E(C_{\text{soil}} - C_{\text{leaf}}))$$
(1)
$$d(MC_{\text{OBT}})/dt = ID_{\text{p}} dM/dt C_{\text{leaf}}$$
(2)

 C_{atm} is the HTO concentration in the atmospheric moisture (Bq/L), C_{atm} is the weighted time-average of atmospheric HTO concentration (Bq/L), C_{leaf} is the HTO concentration in the plant water in leaf (Bq/L), M is the whole plant dry matter water equivalent (d.m.w.e. kg/m²), M_{leaf} is the mass of a leaf part of the plant per surface area, fresh water equivalent (f.w.e., kg/m²), V_{ex} is exchange velocity in units converted to atmospheric water flux similar to that of E^{T} (mm/s), C_{soil} is the HTO concentration in the soil moisture (Bq/L), E denotes ET (mm/s) and ρ_w is the water density; $ID_p = 0.8$. AECL EACL

UNRESTRICTED / ILLIMITÉ

 $C_{coil} = \gamma C_{atm}$

AECL Model

 $V_{ex}, E, M, C_{atm}, C_{soil}$

AECL Model

The HTO concentration in the leaf is determined by tritium diffusion from the air and mass transfer from the soil. These two processes are parameterized separately via V_{ex} and E

Aggregation of C_{atm} driving C_{soil} is based on deposition (dry and wet) and "reference crop" evapotranspiration *E* in modified PM formulation, which is based on surface *T* and ΔT_s in soil.

$$C_{\text{Soil}}(t) = {}_0 \int^t [\alpha_2 \exp(\alpha_1 \tau) C_{\text{atm}}(\tau)] d\tau / \exp(\alpha_1 t),$$

$$\alpha_1(t) = \rho_w M_s^{-1}(t) E(t), \alpha_2(t) = M_s^{-1}(t) \rho_w V_{\text{ex}}^s(t)$$

$$E = a_1(R_n - G) + a_2 \ 900/(T + 275) \ uD$$

 $a_1 = \Delta/(\Delta + \gamma *), \quad \gamma * = \gamma (1 + 0.33 u), \quad a_2 = \gamma /(\Delta + \gamma *)$ $G = 0.38c (\Delta T_s)/\lambda \quad \Delta T_s \text{ as per (Killey, 1996; Wildsmith, 1976)}$

HTO Night Exposure Experiments

- Germany, 1996. Wheat, open field + exposure chamber
- Korea, 1998. Rice pots, exposure chamber
- Canada
 - CRL, Perch Lake 2001. Tomato pots, open field
 - CRL, 2004. Tomato, Radish and Lettuce pots, exposure chamber
 - CRL, 2009. Tomato and potato, open field

CRL'2009 Details

Fig.1 Acid Rain Site dedicated to atmospheric uptake of tritium (tarp-covered clean soil)

Fig.2 Perch Lake Site dedicated to re-emission of tritium and its final retention in OBT form

HTO and OBT Dynamics

HTO and OBT measurements in tree leaves (B513): Deviation from SA-based CSA N288.1 Tritium DRL procedure on all aggregation intervals

Available rates of HTO and OBT depuration

Leaves

Vex for Simple Model has been has been measured using in-house observations of HTO and OBT dynamics.

Fruit

High OBT/HTO ratio measured in parts of tomato and potato plants

Sampling approach: Drivers synchronization

Gamma monitoring:

Air HTO active sampling (bubbler):

Collection and measuring HTO and OBT in plant tissues:

Is there a rapid OBT formation?

Validation of Simple Model using **OBT/HTO** ratios collected worldwide

model vs. ensemble of 1976-2005 field and laboratory measurements: QQ plot of ranked statistics

Approach to on-going verification of tritium translocation in CTEM+CLASS

SUMMARY

 Model update by inclusion of ambient drivers into the Simple Plant Tritium Model (through E) works reasonably well – explains most of the range of observed OBT/HTO ratios.

•OBT is probably formed much more rapidly (~minutes) in plant, than it has been suggested before. Investigation of this possibility and general quantification of maintenance sugars with their decomposition in "dark" reactions require targeted experiments.

•Elaborate process-based models are sensitive to tritium parameterization – accuracy in parameters definition is required.

•Implement seasonal (dynamical) adjustments in the CSA N288.1-08 tritium procedures

- •Complete simple OBT formation model
- •Assess the role of Soil compartment

THANK YOU

