#### EMRAS – Theme 2 Remediation of Sites with Radioactive Residues

# Urban Remediation Working Group

5-9 November 2007 Vienna

### **Goal of the Working Group**

To provide an opportunity to compare and test modeling approaches and models that describe the behaviour of radionuclides in an urban setting

- Prediction of changes in radionuclide concentrations and dose rates over time
- Prediction of the reduction in radionuclide concentrations and dose rates expected to result from specific countermeasures or remediation efforts

### **Progress of Working Group**

- 8th WG Meeting in April 2007
- Summary of existing models and modeling approaches
- Modeling exercises for selected situations
  - Widespread contamination (Chernobyl)
    Localized contamination (RDD event)
- Preliminary conclusions
- Draft Working Group report

Summary of existing models and modeling approaches

- Literature survey of models and modeling approaches
- Sources of information on countermeasures
- Considerations for selection of appropriate parameter values

### Modeling exercises for selected situations

 With and without application of countermeasures

- Designed to permit comparison of model predictions
  - With other model predictions
  - With measurements when available

## First modeling exercise: Pripyat scenario

- Chernobyl fallout
  - Town was evacuated, remained largely uninhabited
- Time series of dose rates and contaminant concentrations
- Indoor and outdoor locations
- With and without countermeasures
- Some measurements available

#### **Dose rate**

#### % contribution to dose rate



#### Predicted cumulative doses, with effects of countermeasures









### Second modeling exercise: Radiological dispersal device (RDD)

- Hypothetical release of radioactive material
   5 kg conventional explosion, ground level
   50 TBq of Cs-137 in powder form
  - 1 July of Year 0
  - Dry weather, wind 5 m/s from the west
- Simulated explosion event (Hotspot)
- Further simulation (IAMM) to obtain values for reference surface contamination at selected sites
- With and without countermeasures

# Site of hypothetical event



# Contours of reference surface contamination (simulated)



1, 2, 3, and 4 MBq/m<sup>2</sup>

# RDD location and nearby buildings



# **Building 1, outside**

#### **Contamination density**



#### **Dose rate**





# % contribution to dose rate



### Building 1, inside (ground floor)

#### **Dose rate**



% contribution to dose rate



## Building 1, ground floor occupational exposure

#### **Annual dose**







### **Building 1, ground floor** occupational exposure, with effects of **countermeasures**









# Preliminary conclusions from the Urban Remediation Working Group

- Importance of looking at each contributing surface and radionuclide
  - Not just the total dose rate
  - Different combinations of surfaces may give similar answers
- Range of modeling results gives an idea of the uncertainty in making predictions
  - Different assumptions or parameter values
  - Different interpretations of input information
  - Various sources of uncertainty
- Need for a variety of test data
  - Many types of data are not available
- Challenges of this kind and scale of modeling
  - Very location-specific
  - Many possible situations and combinations

# **Plans for current meeting**

- Presentation and discussion of revised model results since the April 2007 meeting
- Discussion of Working Group report
  - Models and modeling approaches
  - Results of both modeling exercises
  - Conclusions and recommendations
- Discussion of publication plans
- Discussion of future plans
  - Next steps to improving the modeling of urban contamination