## Information about remediation activities

According to the principles of radiation safety, decontamination must ensure a reduction of doses, radioactive contamination density and radionuclides concentration in the air of inhabited places and in the areas of an adjoining protective zone.

The necessity of separating decontamination works for populated areas is explained by the following reasons:

- Significant non-uniformity of radioactive contamination even within the borders of one individual farm;
- Variety of decontaminated surfaces within the borders of one object (roof, walls, fences, road covers, gardens, etc.);
- Presence of the population and industrial activity on decontaminated territories;
- Absence of experience with complex decontamination of populated areas;
- Absence of effective technical means of decontamination of the populated areas.

The industrial methods of decontamination and their efficiency can be compared with the methods used for decontamination of populated areas on purpose to fulfill investigations. However, one cannot help but notice that the significant volume of decontamination works executed in the inhabited locality for the first two years after the accident (1986-1988) by military junctions has shown their very low efficiency and economic weakness.

The experience of works after the Chornobyl accident has shown that decontamination of the populated areas must be carried out in a complex manner, using various methods for vertical decontamination (crowns of trees, roofs, walls of houses, fences) and horizontal decontamination (territory).

In estimating the significance of decontamination works, one should take into account, that reduction of radioactive contamination levels of objects can take place both due to decontamination and due to radioactive decay and such external factors as atmospheric fallouts, air flows, etc. Also, it should be mentioned that it is impossible to evaluate the decontamination works without considering such measures, as dust removal and putting populated areas in good order.

## Decontamination works in the Ukrainian inhabited localities

Tables 1 and 2 review the volumes of the complex works on decontamination that were carried out in the Ukrainian inhabited places during the period of 1986-1989.

| III 1900.   |              |
|---|--------------|
| Name of works   | Quantity     |
| Decontamination:  |              |
| Dwelling-houses and municipal buildings                 | 22 570       |
| Courtyards  | over 1500    |
| Schools and children's establishments                   | 455          |
| Stock-farm premises                                     | about 300    |
| Streets in the inhabited places, km                     | over 10 000  |
| Removal of contaminated ground, m <sup>3</sup>          | over 300 000 |
| Covering with asphalt for dust-suppression:             |              |
| roads, km   | 387          |
| road-sides, km  | 37           |
| territory (in Prypiat and Polissky), km <sup>2</sup>    | 38 000       |
| Treatment of roads and road-sides with dust-suppression | 2377         |
| materials, km   |              |

Table 1. Volumes of both decontamination and dust-suppression works in the inhabited places in 1986.

Table 2. Volume of complex works fulfilled together with decontamination of inhabited places in 1986-1989.

| Name of works  | Indications |
|--|-------------|
| Replacement of roofs on houses and buildings                         | 14077       |
| Wrecking and disposal of ramshackle houses, buildings                | 2145        |
| Replacement of fences, km  | 590         |
| Decontamination of houses and within doors                           | 7300        |
| Decontamination of wells   | 2143        |
| Transportation of contaminated ground and rubbish, th m <sup>3</sup> | 447,5       |
| Delivery of clean ground, th m <sup>3</sup>                          | 312,3       |
| Sanitary cleaning on the area, mln km <sup>2</sup>                   | 1,4         |
| Building of hard-paved roads, km                                     | 567         |
| Transmission line wiring, km   | 776         |
| Water communication setting, km                                      | 570         |

Reduction of internal irradiation doses can be achieved mainly by Administrative-Organizing measures being carried out. It is possible to classify them as:

- Delivery of clean food products;
- Radiation control for the local food production;
- Cultivating of "steady" against the radionuclides in their mass accumulation products [provision of stable elements to reduce accumulation of the radioisotopes?];
- Inculcation of safe methods for growing, preparing and processing agricultural products received from personal farms.

Since all the actions for reduction of internal irradiation doses come to elimination of polluted food products from use and to radiation control of their quality, the main efforts ought to be directed toward decreasing external irradiation doses.

As a result of radioactive fallouts, spreading of the contamination had occurred for ecosystem elements and for inhabited and subsidiary constructions, roads, pastures, etc. These fallouts form the external irradiation dose.

Analysis of the radiation situation and dose loads for the population shows that choice of methods for decontamination and radioactive waste management are defined mainly by means of technical facilities available and by material and human resources balance. So the choice of decontamination technology presents by itself a compromise problem of minimizing two parameters: material outlay and risk for population health from remaining contamination of decontaminated territory.

Farmstead territory together with all complexes of buildings is taken as a conditional unit of populated area decontamination. Agricultural activity is a component part of human life; therefore to achieve radiation contamination control levels which ensure the possibility of getting products fit for food without limitations is the final goal of decontamination.

For yard subsidiary buildings and wooden barriers for farmsteads, if their contamination level exceeds the fixed level (Table 3) they are not subjected to decontamination. Instead, the intent is to replace them.

Replacing the roofs of dwelling houses and buildings attached to them is stipulated by the project for the condition of beta-activity exceeding more than 200 part/cm<sup>2</sup>/min).

The most polluted areas of farmsteads are buildings for public use (farms, enclosures, workshops and others); the most polluted objects of public service are blind areas and drains.

According to the radiometric survey, some areas of farmsteads and kitchen gardens of public use in the villages were found as the most contaminated places.

The project provided in detail for removal by hand of contamination from blind areas around the houses and buildings of public use, as well as polluted soil places in some narrow

parts, with further loading into containers. Soil and builders' refuse must be loaded from containers in the backs of cars and transported to LSWD (Local Site Waste Disposal) locations. Moreover, waste of organic origin is transported separately, since it is expected to require some different technology for its disposal.

Table 3. Control power levels from gamma-radiation exposure and surface contamination with beta-radiation radionuclides.

|    |   | Level of                   |
|----|---|----------------------------|
|    | Objects of contamination  | contamination              |
|    |   | by beta-particles          |
|    |   | part./cm <sup>2</sup> /min |
| 1. | Pre-school institutions for children, schools, medical and preventive |                            |
|    | establishments and equipment inside, food shops, enterprises of food  |                            |
|    | industry and public food and equipment:                               | 20                         |
|    | Within the premises   | 20                         |
|    | Territory and equipment   | 50                         |
|    |   |                            |
| 2. | Objects of cultural-mass purpose, sport buildings and complexes:      |                            |
|    | Within the premises   | 30                         |
|    | Territory and equipment   | 50                         |
|    |   |                            |
| 3. | Inner surfaces of dwelling premises and subjects of personal use      | 50                         |
|    |   |                            |
| 4. | Inner surfaces of service premises and outer surfaces of equipment    |                            |
|    | inside  | 50                         |
|    |   |                            |
| 5. | Open surfaces of the city territory and outer surfaces of buildings   | 200                        |
|    |   |                            |
| 6. | Transport means and mechanisms:                                       |                            |
|    | Inner surfaces  | 50                         |
|    | Outer surfaces  | 100                        |
|    | Internal surfaces external surfaces                                   |                            |

On the completion of removal and transport of contaminated soil and materials from the courtyards and places of public use, ploughing ought to be done, or manual re-plough, if it is impossible for mechanisms to be fitted. Lime (5 t/ha, according to the calculation) is inserted simultaneously and potassium - phosphoric fertilizers (by 125 kg/ha) of each type.

The final stages of decontamination of populated areas included improvement of the farmsteads and places attached to them as well as places of common use, including delivery of clean soil for the blind area hollows, gravel (crushed stones) and asphalt for blind areas and courtyards, covering with asphalt, making barriers, recovering of roofs and taking down of constructions, grass sowing aimed at making a turfy layer on the places of common use, as well as turfing of waysides.

## **Decontamination of Soil**

Dust particles, rain drops and flow, and contaminated leaves obey the principles of gravity which lead them to reach the soil at the final stage of natural transport. The soil around houses, yards, roads and pavements was found to be a significant contributor to the doses.

*Skim and burial ploughing* In the urban environment, the application of skim and burial ploughing would be restricted to large areas, such as parks. The plough skims off the topmost layer of soil (about 5 cm) and buries it at a depth of some 40-50 cm without inverting the intermediate layer. Hence the name 'skim and burial plough'. The removal of only about a 5 cm layer of topsoil rarely affects the fertility of the land, and poorer quality subsoil is not brought to the surface. Overall, the skim and burial plough greatly reduces radiation levels at the ground surface, the resuspension hazard is eliminated, most of the contamination is made inaccessible to plant roots, and soil quality is unaffected. The effect of the procedure, which has been tested in the former USSR, has been found to be a reduction of the dose-rate by some 94%, but in very sandy soils it may be difficult to achieve the objective with this method.

**Triple digging** Triple digging is an excellent method to reduce the dose to people, both where the uptake to plants is considered, and for external dose reduction. This method can be used in gardens and other places where it is impossible or expensive to use skim and burial ploughing. It can be seen that if the initial contamination is in the uppermost 10 cm of soil, then the dose reduction factor will range from 0.08 to 0.5, depending on the size of the plot and the initial distribution.

The sequence of decontamination work fulfillment will be dictated, as a rule, by the actual conditions of locality, by weather conditions, and it ought to be determined by the standard order for realization of decontamination works on populated areas. The order of priorities for works to be fulfilled is represented in Table 4.

| No. | Name of works to be fulfilled   | Order of work |
|-----|---|---------------|
|     |   | priorities    |
| 1   | Interim technological site setting-up in the populated areas for the road | Ι             |
|     | engineering to be localized, and determination of routes for the waste    |               |
|     | resulting from decontamination to be transported away                     |               |
| 1   | Decontamination of populated area 500-m protected zone                    | II            |
| 2   | Decontamination of village inhabited area zone (except courtyards)        | III           |
| 3   | Fence disassembling   | III           |
| 4   | Roof dismounting  | III           |
| 5   | Pulling down of ramshackle and neglected buildings                        | III           |
| 6   | Digging out of soil under the drains                                      | IV            |
| 7   | Digging out of soil with 40 mkR/hr exposure rate                          | IV            |
| 8   | Cleaning of wells   | IV            |
| 9   | Infield spading by hand   | IV            |
| 10  | Infield ploughing   | IV            |
| 11  | Clean soil delivery   | V             |
| 12  | Setting up the blind areas  | V             |
| 13  | Courtyard covering with asphalt   | V             |
| 14  | Trimming of streets and inside roads with their planning                  | VI            |
|     | simultaneously  |               |
| 15  | Digging out of radiation-contaminated soil along the streets and inside   | VII           |

Table 4. Sequence of decontamination work fulfillment

| No. | Name of works to be fulfilled  | Order of work priorities                        |  |
|-----|--|---|--|
|     | roads  |   |  |
| 16  | Ploughing of street surfaces, inside roads and adjoining areas         | VIII  |  |
| 17  | Accomplishment of streets (covering with asphalt and sod)              | IX  |  |
| 18  | Decontamination and re-cultivation of verified routes for the waste to | Х   |  |
|     | be transported away  |   |  |
| 19  | Assessment of radiation situation on the inhabited area territory      | XI  |  |
| 20  | The surface treatment with dust-coupling solutions                     | Operationiscarriedoutbefore the workfulfillment |  |

In the early stages, when there were still many short-lived gamma emitters, the following were carried out on the most heavily contaminated land:

removal of the top layer of soil on the most contaminated plots of land and in the places most frequented by people;

decontamination of buildings;

resurfacing (repaving) of roads, etc.

Decontamination works cost  $28 \times 10^6$  rubles for 4 years (in scale 1990). In 1987-1990 the next countermeasures were carried out:

washing of walls, roofs, houses (2127 yards) - 95%;

removal of contaminated soils (450 yards - 3100m<sup>3</sup>) - 14%;

changing of roofs - 81%;

changing of fences (13.4 km) - 13%;

repaying of roads - 12%.

In 1987, 1560 workers using more than 90 units of technics made works of decontamination in Polesskoe.

Tables 5 and 6 summarize the volumes of materials remediated and waste removed during the decontamination efforts.

| No. | Name of works                                      | Volume of works Volume of waste |           | Technical support |           |                                 |
|-----|--|---------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|
|     | Ivanie of works                                    | units                           | quantity  | units             | quantity  |                                 |
| 1   | Contaminated soil 0,2 m depth to be                | $m^3/t$                         | 4798/6717 | m <sup>3</sup> /t | 4798/6717 | Means of small mechanization    |
|     | taken away under the drains by hand                |                                 |           |                   |           | (shovels, barrows, containers,  |
|     |  |                                 |           |                   |           | etc.)                           |
| 2   | Contaminated soil 0,2 m depth with $\geq$ 4,0      |                                 |           |                   |           |                                 |
|     | $\mu$ Sv/h), of exposure rate to be taken away     | 2                               |           | 2                 |           |                                 |
|     | Including  | $m^{3}/t$                       | 2383/3336 | m³/t              | 2388/3336 |                                 |
|     | by hand  | $m_{2}^{3}/t$                   | 300/420   |                   |           | Means of small mechanization    |
|     | by cleaners  | m <sup>3</sup> /t               | 2083/2916 |                   |           | Bulldozer.                      |
|     |  |                                 |           |                   |           | Loader pneumatic-wheel          |
| 3   | Cleaning of the contaminated silts from            | 2                               |           | 2                 |           | Means of small mechanization    |
|     | wells by hand                                      | m³/t                            | 34/61     | m³/t              | 34/61     | (shovels, hoist, bins, barrows, |
|     |  |                                 |           |                   |           | containers, etc.)               |
| 4   | Some separate plots digging out by hand            |                                 |           |                   |           |                                 |
|     | (gardens, small fruit plantations, etc.)           |                                 |           |                   |           |                                 |
|     | with introducing simultaneously:                   | ha                              | 19,88     |                   |           | By hand                         |
|     | Chalk - 5,0 t/ha                                   | t                               | 99,4      |                   |           |                                 |
|     | potash salt – 0,125 t/ha                           | t                               | 2,485     |                   |           |                                 |
|     | superphosphate - 0,125 t/ha                        | t                               | 2,485     |                   |           |                                 |
| 5   | Surface treatment by 10% SSD solution              |                                 |           |                   |           |                                 |
|     | when replacing the fences, digging out             |                                 |           |                   |           | Street-flushing car             |
|     | the soil, replacing the roofs, taking down         |                                 |           |                   |           |                                 |
|     | ramshackle houses, ploughing $(1,0 \text{ L/m}^2)$ |                                 |           |                   |           |                                 |
|     | is a specific discharge of 10%-solution)           | Т                               | 1800      |                   |           |                                 |
| 6   | Fence disassembling and setting                    | 100 lm                          | 226       | m³/t              | 1011/809  | Auto-crane, Pneumatic-wheel     |
|     |  | m³/t                            | 1011/809  |                   |           | loader                          |
|     |  | m <sup>2</sup>                  | 18705     | .,                |           |                                 |
| 7   | Roof dismounting                                   | $m^2/t$                         | 189/268   | m³/t              | 179/268   | Auto-crane                      |
| 8   | Roof setting up                                    | $m^2/t$                         | 18705/266 |                   |           | Auto-crane                      |
| 9   | Pulling down of ramshackle and                     |                                 |           |                   |           | Auto-crane,                     |

Table 5. The list of waste volumes at realization of decontamination-remediation works.

| No. | Name of works                            | Volume of works   |              | Volume of waste   |            | Technical support                |
|-----|--|-------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------------------|
|     | Ivallie of works                         | units             | quantity     | units             | quantity   |                                  |
|     | neglected buildings                      | m <sup>3</sup> /t | 70/56        | m <sup>3</sup> /t | 70/56      | Tip-lorry, bulldozer             |
| 10  | Clean ground bringing                    | $m^3/t$           | 7181/10053   |                   |            | Tip-lorry, bulldozer             |
| 11  | Blind area setting up                    | $m^2$             | 24350        |                   |            | Tip-lorry, bulldozer,            |
|     | Crushed stone delivery                   | $m^3/t$           | 2435/4357    |                   |            | pneumatic-wheel roller,          |
|     | Asphalt delivery                         | $m^3/t$           | 730,5/1314,9 |                   |            | machine for covering with        |
|     |  |                   |              |                   |            | asphalt, hand road-roller        |
| 12  | Covering courtyards with asphalt         | $m^2$             | 35300        |                   |            | Tip-lorry, bulldozer, pneumatic- |
|     | Crushed stone delivery                   | $m^3/t$           | 3530/6330    |                   |            | wheel roller, machine for        |
|     | Asphalt delivery                         | $m^3/t$           | 1059/1906,2  |                   |            | covering with asphalt, hand      |
|     |  |                   |              |                   |            | road-roller                      |
| 13  | Infield ploughing for 0,3 m depth by     |                   |              |                   |            |                                  |
|     | T-4A tractor with introducing            | ha                | 81,85        |                   |            | Tractor, arrangement for         |
|     | simultaneously:                          |                   |              |                   |            | additional fertilizing to be     |
|     | chalk - 5,0 t/ha                         |                   |              |                   |            | applied                          |
|     | potash salt - 0,125 t/ha                 | t                 | 413,85       |                   |            |                                  |
|     | superphosphate - 0,125 t/ha              | t                 | 14,52        |                   |            |                                  |
|     |  | t                 | 14,52        |                   |            |                                  |
| 14  | Transportation of contaminated soils and |                   |              |                   |            | Dump-truck, dust-cart            |
|     | building rubbish over 10 km distance     |                   |              |                   |            |                                  |
|     |  | $m^3/t$           | 8475/11247   |                   |            |                                  |
| 15  | WASTES                                   |                   |              | $m^{3}/t$         | 8475/11247 |                                  |
|     | Including organic waste                  |                   |              | $m^3/t$           | 1171/999   |                                  |

| No. | Name of works  | Units   | Volume    | Technical support                  |
|-----|--|---------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| 1   | Ploughing of protected zone (500 m) 0,3 m depth                  | ha      | 270       | ULP-8 arrangement for additional   |
|     | by T-4A tractor (96 kWt)   |         |           | fertilizing to be applied          |
|     | with introducing to soil:  |         |           |                                    |
|     | chalk - 5,0 t/ha   | t       | 1350      |                                    |
|     | potash salt - 0,125 t/ha   | t       | 33,75     |                                    |
|     | superphosphate - 0,125 t/ha                                      | t       | 33,75     |                                    |
| 2   | Ploughing 0,3 m depth of territories for general use by T-4A     |         |           |                                    |
|     | tractor (96 kWt) with introducing to soil:                       | ha      | 40        | ULP-8 arrangement for additional   |
|     | chalk - 5,0 t/ha   | t       | 200       | fertilizing to be applied          |
|     | potash salt - 0,125 t/ha   | t       | 5,0       |                                    |
|     | superphosphate - 0,125 t/ha                                      | t       | 5,0       |                                    |
| 3   | Cleaning 0,2 m depth of reservoir-sides from contaminated        |         |           | Tractor. Excavator pneumatic-wheel |
|     | soils (silts)  | $m^3/t$ | 2480/3968 |                                    |
| 4   | Contaminated soil digging out 0,2 m depth from the ditches       |         |           | Excavator pneumatic-wheel          |
|     | near roads   | $m^3/t$ | 3660/5124 |                                    |
| 5   | Transportation of contaminated soils to the point of waste       |         |           |                                    |
|     | disposal over 10 km distance                                     | $m^3/t$ | 6373/9418 | Dump-truck                         |
| 6   | Contaminated soil digging out 0,2 m depth and 1,0 m wide         |         |           | By hand (shovels, hoist, bins,     |
|     | under the drains near the buildings of public use (farms, stock- | $m^3/t$ | 233/326   | barrows, containers and etc.)      |
|     | houses etc.)   |         |           |                                    |
| 7   | Delivery and setting of soil layer 0,2 m depth for reservoir-    |         |           |                                    |
|     | sides and river-sides etc.                                       | $m^3/t$ | 2480/3968 | Dump-truck, bulldozer              |
| 8   | Transportation of disassembled fences to the place of disposal   |         |           |                                    |
|     | over 10 km distance  | $m^3/t$ | 332/266   | Dump-truck                         |
| 9   | Road slopes sodding with grass-mix introducing                   | t       | 0,3       | Seeding machine                    |

Table 6. Decontamination of protected zone, territories for general use.

The most radical way for reduction of exposure dose in the school and pre-school establishments is realisation of the decontamination process. Decontamination was made by removal of the top soil layer to the depth of 20 cm manually and with the help of a bulldozer. The removed soil was transported to be disposed of in the RW Disposal Point. The radionuclide content in this soil did not exceed 400 Bq/kg. In detail the technology of decontamination procedures for the schools and the volumes of fulfilled works are represented in Table 7.

| No. | Operation   | Unit           | Number |
|-----|---|----------------|--------|
| 1   | Removal of the contaminated soil layer by hand  | m <sup>3</sup> | 60     |
| 2   | Removal of the contaminated soil layer by bulldozers and transportation for a distance of 10 m      | m <sup>3</sup> | 131    |
| 3   | Per extra 10 m  | m <sup>3</sup> | 191    |
| 4   | Loading of the soil into vehicles by excavators $(0.25 \text{ m}^3)$                                | m <sup>3</sup> | 191    |
| 5   | Transportation of the soil to the burial ground for a distance of no more than 5 km                 | ton            | 306    |
| 6   | Uncontaminated soil exploitation by bulldozers in pits<br>and transportation for a distance of 20 m | m <sup>3</sup> | 130    |
| 7   | Loading of the soil into vehicles by bulldozers   | m <sup>3</sup> | 130    |
| 8   | Transportation of the soil for a distance of 1 km   | ton            | 203    |
| 9   | Strewing of the soil between trees by hand  | m <sup>3</sup> | 40     |
| 10  | Transportation of the soil by bulldozers for a distance of no more than 30 m                        | $m^2$          | 90     |
| 11  | Vegetable soil exploitation by excavators and loading of the soil into vehicles                     | m <sup>3</sup> | 13     |
| 12  | Transportation of the vegetable soil for a distance of no more than 1 km                            | ton            | 16     |
| 13  | Strewing of the vegetable soil between trees by hand  | m <sup>3</sup> | 13     |
| 14  | Roller compaction of the soil   | m <sup>3</sup> | 143    |
| 15  | Mechanical land leveling  | $m^2$          | 1190   |
| 16  | Disassembling of asbestos-cement roof coverings   | $m^2$          | 253    |
| 17  | Replacement of asbestos-cement roof coverings   | $m^2$          | 253    |
| 18  | Loading of the roof coverings disassembled  | m <sup>3</sup> | 5      |
| 19  | Transportation to the burial ground for a distance of no more than 5 km                             | ton            | 6      |
| 20  | Dosimetry   | $m^2$          | 1419   |

Table 7. Technology of decontamination procedure for the schools.