# Spent fuel challenges facing small and new nuclear programmes

**Charles McCombie** 

Arius Association

IAEA: Spent Fuel Management from Power Reactors, Vienna, June 2010



### Countries With Operating Nuclear Power Plants

438 NPPs in 31 Countries Source: IAEA, 2010 ~

arius

### Countries Building New Nuclear Power Plants

Som

57 Plants Under Construction Source: IAEA, 2010



~

### Non-nuclear Countries Considering Nuclear Power Plants

Zur

Up to 60 potential newcomers!



 $\bigcirc$ 

~

### The Nuclear Future???



### New Interest in Nuclear Power

- 61 nations have requested support from
   IAEA on what they need to introduce to
   have nuclear power
  - Africa 20
  - Latin America 12
  - Asia Pacific 20
  - Europe and FSU 9





# A Secure, Safe, Energy Future

### Global goals:

- Security of supply of energy (NB resource and geopolitical concerns)
- Low-carbon electricity generation (Climate change deniers are now scarce)
- Expanded nuclear power can help ..... but we must have:
  - Safe and secure NFC facilities & materials
  - Security of supply of front-end services
  - Security of availability of back-end services including reprocessing if requested

Access to geologic disposal - in all cases



## Nuclear Fuel Cycle



http://www.world-nuclear.org/education/nfc.htm

# Waste types to be managed by new nuclear nations

- Wastes from reactor operation
- Wastes from decommissioning
- Spent Fuel
- Wastes from reprocessing and recycling
- Other wastes
  - Medical wastes
  - Industrial wastes
  - Research wastes



### **Spent Fuel Management Options**

- National storage and disposal (early or late)
- Reprocessing abroad. Recycling and waste disposal nationally
- Reprocessing, recycling and waste disposal abroad
- National storage; disposal in a shared repository
- Fuel leasing (similar to point above)
- Retention of spent fuel as a valuable commodity



## Multilateral solutions?

#### Spent fuel storage

- Takes place de facto at reprocessors
- Has been proposed by Russia
- Reprocessing
  - Commercial services offered
- Disposal
  - Wastes have been transferred, but
  - No credible project at present



## A credible disposal strategy

- Allocate responsibilities for long-term management
- **Establish a funding mechanism.**
- Develop a sound engineering concept for disposal
- Define a practicable storage strategy ensuring safety and security.
- Initiate a modestly sized national siting programme
- Ensure that the necessary core competence in waste management is built up and then maintained at the national level.



## Criteria for Assessing Options

- Safety
   Political
- Security
  Legal
- Feasibility
  Societal
- Economics



### **Conclusions and Recommendations**

- Consider the <u>lifecycle</u> of all nuclear facilities and all radioactive materials <u>from the outset</u>;
- Even if disposal is far off, planning and organization should <u>begin early</u>
- Resources must be made available
- Lessons can be learned from advanced programmes <u>but …</u>
- A "wait and see" policy if this implies that no actions are being taken or planning being initiated - <u>should not</u> <u>be an option</u>



# The most urgent tasks

Establishing a know-how base

- Ensuring that all at-reactor facilities needed for safe handling and treatment of operational wastes will be available from day one
- Ensuring sufficient storage capacity will be available at ALL future times
- Establishing credible disposal options
  - It is not necessary to choose definitive solutions at the outset; options can be kept open, but a minimum level of engagement is required for all open options



# The End

