SEDO Safety Evaluation of Fuel Cycle Facilities During Operation

G. W Jones Division of Nuclear Installation Safety Department of Nuclear Safety and Security



SEDO - BACKGROUND

PURPOSE

- To assist the requesting Member State to enhance the operational safety of their fuel cycle facilities
- To promote the continuous development of operational safety in all Member States operating fuel cycle facilities by disseminating information on good safety practices



SEDO - BACKGROUND

OBJECTIVES

- Objectively assess operational safety
- Provide useful information on opportunities for improving operational safety
- Identify good practices
- Broaden the experience of facility staff through informal exchange of information
- Instruct the facility staff in the use of the SEDO methodology which could be used for conducting future self assessments



SEDO - BACKGROUND

WHAT IT DOES NOT DO

- Does not assess against national regulatory requirements
- Does not assess the overall safety of a facility
- Does not rank the operational safety performance of the host facility in comparison with other facilities
- SEDO is not a regulatory inspection



FUEL CYCLE FACILITIES IN SEDO

- Front End:
 - Conversion & enrichment facilities
 - Fuel fabrication facilities
- Back End:
 - Spent fuel storage facilities
 - Reprocessing & associated waste treatment facilities
- Other:
 - Fuel cycle R&D facilities















REVIEW AREAS

- 1. Management, organization and administration (MOA)
- 2. Training and qualification (TQ)
- 3. Operation (OP)
- 4. Maintenance and periodic tests (MPT)
- 5. Modifications (MOD)
- 6. Other technical support (TS)
 - Radiochemical & chemical analytical services
 - Decontamination services
 - Information technology



REVIEW AREAS Cont.

- 7. Criticality safety (CS)
- 8. Radiation protection (RP)
- 9. Waste management (WM)
- 10. Fire, chemical and industrial safety management (FCIS)
- 11. Emergency planning and preparedness (EPP)
- 12. Effluent management and environmental protection (EMEP)



IAEA FUEL CYCLE FACILITY SAFETY STANDARDS SUPPORTING SEDO:

Safety Requirements:

• NS-R-5 Safety of Fuel Cycle Facilities (2008)

Specific Safety Guides:

- SSG-5 Safety of Conversion and Enrichment Facilities (2010)
- SSG-6 Safety of Uranium Fuel Fabrication Facilities (2010)
- SSG-7 Safety of MOX Fuel Fabrication Facilities (2010)

IAEA Safety S	Standards	
Safety of Nuclear Fuel Facilities	Cycle	
Bafety Requirements	nts -	
IAEA Safety St	IAEA Safety Sta	IAEA Safety Standards
Safety of Conv Facilities and L Enrichment Fa	Safety of Uraniu Fabrication Faci	Safety of Uranium and Plutonium Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facilities
Specific Safety Guid No: 68G-5	Specific Ballety Guide No. 88G-6	Specific Safety Guide No: SBG-7
(ii) IAEA	(6) IAFA	(G)IAEA







SEDO – PREPARATORY MEETING

- SEDO is requested by IAEA Member State
- IAEA Team Leader arranges a PREPARATORY MEETING at the facility site with the operating managers and the regulatory authority in order to define, by consensus:
 - The scope of the SEDO mission
 - The physical limits
 - Information to be provided
 - Logistics



SEDO - PREPARATIONS

PREPARATION BY FACILITY

- Facility prepare for mission using the SEDO Guidelines and related IAEA safety standards
- Facility conduct a self assessment and implement any resulting improvements before the SEDO mission:
 - Involve individuals/groups closest to the work
 - Adopt a questioning attitude
 - Challenge the status quo
 - Identify areas for improvement



SEDO – METHODOLOGY

- Each SEDO team member is assigned a review area
- Each SEDO team member has a facility counterpart as a technical contact person
- The SEDO team uses four steps to acquire the information:
 - Review of written material
 - Interviews and discussions with personnel
 - Direct observation of activities
 - Discussions of evaluations and tentative conclusions with other team members



SEDO – METHODOLOGY

EVALUATION CRITERIA

- The basis for the evaluation criteria is the internationally accepted IAEA Safety Standards
- Findings from the SEDO mission are established by consensus within the SEDO team
- Findings:
 - Recommendation
 - Suggestion
 - Good practice





SEDO - METHODOLOGY

DEFINITIONS

Recommendation:

- Advice on what operational safety improvements are needed to fulfil the requirements in the IAEA safety standards
- Based on IAEA safety standards and address the root cause, rather than the symptom, of the issue.
- A recommendation does not include the methods for satisfying the safety requirements.





SEDO - METHODOLOGY

DEFINITIONS Cont.

Suggestion:

- Additional proposal in conjunction with a recommendation or may stand on its own
- Intended to make an acceptable performance more effective
- Designed to stimulate the facility management and staff to continue to consider ways for enhancing safety performance.





SEDO - METHODOLOGY

DEFINITIONS Cont.

Good Practice:

- Is a proven performance, activity or process which contributes directly or indirectly to operational safety and a sustained good performance
- Should have broad application to be brought to the attention of other fuel cycle facilities





SEDO – MISSION SCHEDULE

		Examp	le SEDO	Missi	on Sch	edule	•
	Sat	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri
Week 1	Team Training	Facility orientation tour Personal	Entry meeting Complete tour with	Review			
		work on AIP	counterparts				
				Team meetings			
Week 2	Free	Free	Review		Review	Review tech notes with counterparts	
	•			Issue development with counterparts			
			Team meetings				
Week 3	Prepare for exit meeting	Travel back					
	Exit meeting						



SEDO – REPORTING RESULTS

Technical Notes:

 During the review each SEDO team member writes detailed Technical Notes on their observations, issues and conclusions, including their findings

SEDO Report:

- The official IAEA document prepared by the team leader at the end of the mission based on the Technical Notes.
- Summarizes the team's main observations, conclusions and findings (recommendations, suggestions and good practices)
- Restricted initial distribution to the IAEA, members of the review team, and the facility and regulatory authority staff involved
- Unless otherwise requested by the Member State the report is derestricted after 90 days



SEDO - FOLLOW UP VISIT

12-18 months later

The response to the formal conclusions and findings in the SEDO report rests with the Member State.

The purpose of the follow-up visit is:

- To review the facility Action Plan
- To form judgments on whether adequate actions have been taken to address the recommendations and suggestions



SEDO - FOLLOW UP VISIT

BENEFITS

Facility

- Provides a target date for implementing the improvements identified during SEDO mission
- May assist in obtaining resources needed to complete improvements
- Provides an outside perspective on adequacy of the measures taken and the progress made

IAEA

• Valuable source of feedback on effectiveness of SEDO missions



SEDO - SUMMARY

- Assist requesting Member State to enhance the operational safety of their fuel cycle facilities
- Structured approach
 - Preparatory Meeting
 - SEDO Mission
 - Follow-up Visit
- Based on international safety standards
- 12 review areas
- Team of internationally recruited reviewers
- Help in identifying and prioritising safety issues
- Encourages a self critical approach and conduct of self assessments
- Motivating factor for enhancing safety culture



SEDO – Thank you for your attention!



http://www-ns.iaea.org/

