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Background

- The previous guide published in 2006
- Revision of relevant safety standards and guides
- Proven practices in OE from Member States
- Lessons learnt from Fukushima
OBJECTIVE

- To provide guidance for the establishment or enhancement of an OE feedback system from design to decommissioning of nuclear installation at the operating organization, regulatory, national and international levels.
- To bring together common elements that typically constitute an effective OE system.
Fukushima Implications

• Emphasize the role of management and their commitment to the utilization of the OE program in enhancing safety performance and safety culture.
• Emphasize management expectations on outcome of the identification, screening, analysis and each element of the OE process.
• Emphasize management commitment to the timely implementation of correctives actions from relevant operating experience.
Status of Safety Guideline Revision

- Approval of DPP by the Nuclear Safety Standards Committees
  - December 2013

- Approval of DPP by CSS
  - 2014

- Preparation of Draft
  - 2014

- Approval of Draft by the SSCs
  - 2015
  - for submission to Member States for comments

- Approval by SSCs
  - 2016
  - for submission to CSS

- Endorsement by CSS
  - 2016

- Target publication date
  - 2016
Comments Received

The following members have responded to the Draft:

- Argentina
- Canada
- ENISS
- France
- Germany
- Japan
- USA
Nature of the comments

• Completeness
• Clarity
• Better understanding
• General
• Typo
## Comments Received

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Organization</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Accepted</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENISS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>39</strong></td>
<td><strong>33</strong></td>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comments

- Most of comments are accepted (~84 %)
- Those comments, which were not taken into account do not have a fundamental, meaningful impact on the DPP.
WASSC Comments

- Germany, 14 comments, 13 accepted.
- One rejected: Annex I, Chapter 3 Title
- Proposed title: CENTRALIZED NATIONAL SYSTEM FOR THE FEEDBACK OF OPERATIONAL EXPERIENCE FOR OPERATING ORGANIZATIONS.
Thank you for your attention!
Questions?
Approval for submission to Commission for Safety Standards