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A. Background:

An initial review of the proposals to change SSR6 and SSG26 received in the 2015 Review Cycle took place by working groups in TRANSSC30. It was recognized that some of the proposals will require detailed discussion and evaluation beyond the time constraints of a TRANSSC meeting and the outputs from the TRANSSC30 working groups provided initial guidance for working groups convened at this extra ordinary meeting of TRANSSC.

Discussion at TRANSSC30 revealed that the proposals should be further categorized on a thematic basis and the working groups have been provided with lists prepared on this basis.

Each working group is requested to prioritize its allocated list and detailed discussion is encouraged to ensure each proposal is fully assessed. The outputs from the working groups will inform the discussions in TRANSSC31. Detailed reporting of the discussion and conclusion reached is required not only to inform TRANSSC31 discussions but importantly they will also be used in the Technical Basis Document as a record of the revision cycle should TRANSSC31 make a decision to revise SSR-6 and SSG-26.

The final decision to accept or reject a proposal will be made by TRANSSC 31.

A small number of information papers are also available as further background information for the working groups to consider, together with copies of the working group reports from TRANSSC30.

B. Expected outputs from each working group

Each working group is requested to:

1) Review each proposal for change allocated to the working group taking into consideration the output from TRANSSC30 working groups and TRANSSC28, as detailed in the information paper submitted by Spain.

2) Provide a narrative on the views of the working group on each proposal on the document provided by the Secretariat to each working group (table of proposals); this document will be submitted to TRANSSC31 to inform its discussion. These views may include if further justification is required, if changes to the proposal are considered necessary, or any other views the working group wishes TRANSSC to be aware of.

3) Propose new regulatory text, where considered necessary, in the document ‘SSR-6 draft text’

4) Discuss proposals that identify an issue without a proposed solution and propose a solution when possible

5) Identify any relationships between individual proposals

6) Consider if any of the consolidated/revised recommendations may be offered as a proposal for change to any of the associated transport Safety Guides

7) Prepare a report to record salient points raised in the working group discussions in particular when differences of opinion result in a consensus decision not being reached and further discussion is considered necessary

8) A short presentation (10 minutes) of the working group findings for plenary