Summary of Discussion of Proposals Referred to IAG from the 2013 review cycle (30-07-2014)

Proposal No 16 (Germany)

Further consideration by IMO needed. This is a “reverse flow” proposal...in the sense that the proposed change to SSR-6 would not impact the IMDG code, and in fact, the proposal follows from changes already made to IMDG code. Otherwise, no specific comments or objections.

Proposal No 19 (Germany)

No specific comments or objections.

Proposal No 22 (Belgium)

This proposal would change, slightly, the scope of SSR-6 to include the responsibilities of all stakeholders involved in transport. The change to SSR-6 would be more involved that just defining these various stakeholders. These are defined elsewhere in the modal regulations. Differentiation of different job functions in the regulations could lead to unnecessary complication.

The approach appears logical, but then responsibilities would need to be assigned, in SSR-6, to the named duty holders, and this would be a significant change to SSR-6. This would have to be fully considered in a re-submission of this proposal.

Whittingham to write a short narrative capturing the IAG position on this proposal.

Proposal No 24 (France)

Already dispositioned.

Proposal No 38 (France)

Proposal has been subsumed by events

Proposal No 48 (WNTI)

Proposal would tend to add confusion to the regulations. Recommendation made to review definitions in the new IMO/ILO/UNECE Code of Practice for Packing of Cargo Transport Units to see if these might inform the definitions in SSR-6. It was noted that in the UN Model Regulations, on the Multi-Modal Dangerous Goods form, the terms Shipper/Consigner/Sender appear together.

It was agreed that the issue needed further study and there could be no recommendation on the proposal at this time.

Proposal No 49 (WNTI)

This proposal would need to be brought before ICAO, prior to any proposed change to SSR-6. Opportunity to present this at the spring meeting of ICAO, 2015.

Proposal No 60 (WNTI)

This proposal was not discussed at the meeting